Complete Summary

GUIDELINE TITLE

Medical management of adults with hypertension.

BIBLIOGRAPHIC SOURCE(S)

Michigan Quality Improvement Consortium. Medical management of adults with hypertension. Southfield (MI): Michigan Quality Improvement Consortium; 2007 Aug. 1 p.

GUIDELINE STATUS

This is the current release of the guideline.

This guideline updates a previous version: Michigan Quality Improvement Consortium. Medical management of adults with hypertension. Southfield (MI): Michigan Quality Improvement Consortium; 2005 Aug. 1 p.

COMPLETE SUMMARY CONTENT

SCOPE

METHODOLOGY - including Rating Scheme and Cost Analysis RECOMMENDATIONS EVIDENCE SUPPORTING THE RECOMMENDATIONS BENEFITS/HARMS OF IMPLEMENTING THE GUIDELINE RECOMMENDATIONS QUALIFYING STATEMENTS IMPLEMENTATION OF THE GUIDELINE INSTITUTE OF MEDICINE (IOM) NATIONAL HEALTHCARE QUALITY REPORT CATEGORIES IDENTIFYING INFORMATION AND AVAILABILITY **DISCLAIMER**

SCOPE

DISEASE/CONDITION(S)

Hypertension

GUIDELINE CATEGORY

Evaluation Management Treatment

CLINICAL SPECIALTY

Cardiology Family Practice Internal Medicine

INTENDED USERS

Advanced Practice Nurses Health Plans Physician Assistants Physicians

GUIDELINE OBJECTIVE(S)

- To achieve significant, measurable improvements in the management of hypertension through the development and implementation of common evidence-based clinical practice guidelines
- To design concise guidelines that are focused on key management components of essential hypertension to improve outcomes

TARGET POPULATION

Adult patients \geq 18 years of age who are:

- Not pregnant
- Diagnosed with hypertension
 - Prehypertension (120-139/80-89)
 - Hypertension:
 - Stage 1 (140-159/90-99)
 - Stage 2 (>160/>100)

INTERVENTIONS AND PRACTICES CONSIDERED

Evaluation

- 1. Assessment of lifestyle, cardiovascular risk factors, concomitant disorders, causes of hypertension, target organ damage, and cardiovascular disease
- 2. Physical examination including 2 or more blood pressure (BP) measurements using regularly calibrated equipment with appropriate sized cuff and separated by at least 2 minutes, verification in contralateral arm, funduscopic exam, neck exam (bruits), heart and lung exam, abdominal exam for bruits or aortic aneurysm, and extremity pulses
- 3. Laboratory tests including potassium, creatinine, glucose, hematocrit, calcium, urinalysis, lipid panel, and electrocardiogram (EKG)

Management/Treatment

- 1. Patient education and nonpharmacologic interventions including lifestyle modifications and self BP monitoring
- 2. Pharmacologic intervention including
 - Thiazide-type diuretics

- Two-drug combination (thiazide-type diuretic plus angiotensinconverting enzyme inhibitor [ACEI], beta blocker or calcium channel blocker [extended/sustained release or long acting])
- Angiotensin receptor blocker (ARB) if angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor not tolerated
- 3. Post therapy BP monitoring and adjustment of therapy

MAJOR OUTCOMES CONSIDERED

Not stated

METHODOLOGY

METHODS USED TO COLLECT/SELECT EVIDENCE

Searches of Electronic Databases

DESCRIPTION OF METHODS USED TO COLLECT/SELECT THE EVIDENCE

The Michigan Quality Improvement Consortium (MQIC) project leader conducts a search of current literature in support of the guideline topic. Computer database searches are used to identify published studies, existing protocols and/or national guidelines on the selected topic developed by organizations such as the American Diabetes Association, American Heart Association, American Academy of Pediatrics, etc. If available, clinical practice guidelines from participating MQIC health plans and Michigan health systems are also used to develop a framework for the new guideline.

NUMBER OF SOURCE DOCUMENTS

Not stated

METHODS USED TO ASSESS THE QUALITY AND STRENGTH OF THE EVIDENCE

Weighting According to a Rating Scheme (Scheme Given)

RATING SCHEME FOR THE STRENGTH OF THE EVIDENCE

Levels of Evidence for the Most Significant Recommendations

- A. Randomized controlled trials
- B. Controlled trials, no randomization
- C. Observational studies
- D. Opinion of expert panel

METHODS USED TO ANALYZE THE EVIDENCE

Review

DESCRIPTION OF THE METHODS USED TO ANALYZE THE EVIDENCE

Not stated

METHODS USED TO FORMULATE THE RECOMMENDATIONS

Expert Consensus

DESCRIPTION OF METHODS USED TO FORMULATE THE RECOMMENDATIONS

Using information obtained from literature searches and available health plan guidelines on the designated topic, the Michigan Quality Improvement Consortium (MQIC) project leader prepares a draft guideline to be reviewed by the medical directors' committee at one of their scheduled meetings. Priority is given to recommendations with [A] and [B] levels of evidence (see "Rating Scheme for the Strength of the Evidence" field).

The initial draft guideline is reviewed, evaluated, and revised by the committee resulting in draft two of the guideline. Additionally, the Michigan Academy of Family Physicians participates in guideline development at the onset of the process and throughout the guideline development procedure. The MQIC guideline feedback form and draft two of the guideline are distributed to the medical directors, as well as the MQIC measurement and implementation group members, for review and comments. Feedback from members is collected by the MQIC project leader and prepared for review by the medical directors' committee at their next scheduled meeting. The review, evaluation, and revision process with several iterations of the guideline may be repeated over several meetings before consensus is reached on a final draft guideline.

RATING SCHEME FOR THE STRENGTH OF THE RECOMMENDATIONS

Not applicable

COST ANALYSIS

A formal cost analysis was not performed and published cost analyses were not reviewed.

METHOD OF GUIDELINE VALIDATION

External Peer Review Internal Peer Review

DESCRIPTION OF METHOD OF GUIDELINE VALIDATION

When consensus is reached on the final draft guideline, the medical directors approve the guideline for external distribution to practitioners with review and comments requested via the Michigan Quality Improvement Consortium (MQIC) health plans (project leader distributes final draft to medical directors' committee, measurement and implementation groups to solicit feedback).

The MQIC project leader also forwards the approved guideline draft to appropriate state medical specialty societies for their input. After all feedback is received from external reviews, it is presented for discussion at the next scheduled committee meeting. Based on feedback, subsequent guideline review, evaluation, and revision may be required prior to final guideline approval.

The MQIC Medical Directors approved this updated guideline in August 2007.

RECOMMENDATIONS

MAJOR RECOMMENDATIONS

The level of evidence grades (A-D) are provided for the most significant recommendations and are defined at the end of the "Major Recommendations" field.

Initial Assessment

- The objectives of the initial evaluation are to assess lifestyle, cardiovascular risk factors, and concomitant disorders, reveal identifiable causes of hypertension, and check for target organ damage and cardiovascular disease.
- Physical examination: 2 or more blood pressure (BP) measurements using regularly calibrated equipment with the appropriate sized cuff and separated by at least 2 minutes, verification in contralateral arm, funduscopic exam, neck exam (bruits), heart and lung exam, abdominal exam for bruits or aortic aneurysm, and extremity pulses [A]
- Laboratory tests prior to initiating therapy: potassium, creatinine, glucose, hematocrit, calcium, urinalysis, lipid panel, and electrocardiogram (EKG) [D]

Patient Education and Nonpharmacologic Interventions

- Lifestyle modification: weight reduction (body mass index [BMI] goal <25), reduction of dietary sodium to less than 2.4 g/day, DASH diet [A] (i.e., diet high in fruits and vegetables, reduced saturated and total fat), aerobic physical activity >30 minutes most days of the week, tobacco avoidance, increased dietary potassium and calcium, moderation of alcohol consumption¹ [A]
- Use of self BP monitoring. Home measurement device should be checked regularly for accuracy. Mean self measured BP >135/85 is generally considered to be hypertensive

¹Moderate alcohol consumption is defined as up to two drinks per day for men, one drink per day for women and older people.

Goals of Therapy

 Adjust therapy to achieve target BP <140/90 (<130/80 for patients with diabetes or kidney disease)

Pharmacologic Interventions

- Prehypertension (120-139/80-89): none unless compelling indication (e.g., diabetes, renal failure, congestive heart failure [CHF], post-myocardial infarction [MI], stroke, arteriosclerotic cardiovascular disease)
- Hypertension, Stage 1 (140-159/90-99): thiazide-type diuretics alone or in combination with angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor (ACEI), beta blocker, or calcium channel blocker (extended/sustained release or long acting)². Angiotensin receptor blocker (ARB) if ACEI not tolerated
- Hypertension, Stage 2 (>160/>100): two-drug combination (thiazide-type diuretic plus ACEI, beta blocker, or calcium channel blocker [extended/sustained release or long acting]²; use ARB if ACEI not tolerated)
- ACEI (ARB if ACEI not tolerated) are recommended in patients with diabetes or heart failure [A].
- Beta-blockers are recommended in patients with ischemic heart disease or heart failure.
- 3 or more drugs may be necessary for some patients to achieve goal BP.

Monitoring and Adjustment of Therapy [D]

- Prehypertension without medication: annual BP check with lifestyle modification counseling
- Hypertension, Stage 1: initiate therapy and recheck at monthly intervals until goal is reached.
- Hypertension, Stage 2: initiate therapy and recheck weekly or more often if indicated. Symptomatic Stage 2 may require hospital monitoring and treatment.
- Modify antihypertensive therapy as needed if adverse effects become intolerable
- Once BP controlled with medication: recheck every 3 to 6 months.
- Serum potassium and creatinine should be monitored at least 1 to 2 times/year for patients on medication.

Definitions:

Levels of Evidence for the Most Significant Recommendations

- A. Randomized controlled trials
- B. Controlled trials, no randomization
- C. Observational studies
- D. Opinion of expert panel

CLINICAL ALGORITHM(S)

None provided

EVIDENCE SUPPORTING THE RECOMMENDATIONS

TYPE OF EVIDENCE SUPPORTING THE RECOMMENDATIONS

²Avoid use of short-acting nonsustained release calcium channel blockers [A].

The type of evidence is provided for the most significant recommendations (See "Major Recommendations" field).

The guideline is based on several sources including, Hypertension Diagnosis and Treatment, Institute for Clinical Systems Improvement, 2006 (www.icsi.org).

BENEFITS/HARMS OF IMPLEMENTING THE GUIDELINE RECOMMENDATIONS

POTENTIAL BENEFITS

Through a collaborative approach to developing and implementing common clinical practice guidelines and performance measures for hypertension, Michigan health plans will achieve consistent delivery of evidence-based services and better health outcomes. This approach also will augment the practice environment for physicians by reducing the administrative burdens imposed by compliance with diverse health plan guidelines and associated requirements.

POTENTIAL HARMS

- Adverse effects of antihypertensive medications
- The use of short-acting nonsustained release calcium channel blockers should be avoided in patients with stage 1 and 2 hypertension.

QUALIFYING STATEMENTS

QUALIFYING STATEMENTS

This guideline lists core management steps. Individual patient considerations and advances in medical science may supersede or modify these recommendations.

IMPLEMENTATION OF THE GUIDELINE

DESCRIPTION OF IMPLEMENTATION STRATEGY

Approved Michigan Quality Improvement Consortium (MQIC) guidelines are disseminated through email, U.S. mail, and websites.

The MQIC project leader prepares approved guidelines for distribution. Portable Document Format (PDF) versions of the guidelines are used for distribution.

The MQIC project leader distributes approved guidelines to MQIC membership via email.

The MQIC project leader submits request to website vendor to post approved guidelines to MQIC website (www.mgic.org).

The MQIC project leader completes a statewide mailing of the comprehensive set of approved guidelines and educational tools annually. The guidelines and tools

are distributed in February of each year to physicians in the following medical specialties:

- Family Practice
- General Practice
- Internal Medicine
- Other Specialists for which the guideline is applicable (e.g. endocrinologists, allergists, pediatricians, cardiologists, etc.)

The statewide mailing list is derived from the Blue Cross Blue Shield of Michigan (BCBSM) provider database. Approximately 95% of the state's M.D.'s and 96% of the state's D.O.'s are included in the database.

The MQIC project leader submits request to the National Guideline Clearinghouse (NGC) to post approved guidelines to NGC website (www.quideline.gov).

INSTITUTE OF MEDICINE (IOM) NATIONAL HEALTHCARE QUALITY REPORT CATEGORIES

IOM CARE NEED

Getting Better Living with Illness

IOM DOMAIN

Effectiveness Patient-centeredness

IDENTIFYING INFORMATION AND AVAILABILITY

BIBLIOGRAPHIC SOURCE(S)

Michigan Quality Improvement Consortium. Medical management of adults with hypertension. Southfield (MI): Michigan Quality Improvement Consortium; 2007 Aug. 1 p.

ADAPTATION

The guideline is based on several sources including, Hypertension Diagnosis and Treatment, Institute for Clinical Systems Improvement, 2006 (www.icsi.org).

DATE RELEASED

2003 Aug (revised 2007 Aug)

GUIDELINE DEVELOPER(S)

Michigan Quality Improvement Consortium - Professional Association

SOURCE(S) OF FUNDING

Michigan Quality Improvement Consortium

GUIDELINE COMMITTEE

Michigan Quality Improvement Consortium Medical Director's Committee

COMPOSITION OF GROUP THAT AUTHORED THE GUIDELINE

Physician representatives from participating Michigan Quality Improvement Consortium health plans, Michigan State Medical Society, Michigan Osteopathic Association, Michigan Association of Health Plans, Michigan Department of Community Health, and Michigan Peer Review Organization

FINANCIAL DISCLOSURES/CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

Standard disclosure is requested from all individuals participating in the Michigan Quality Improvement Consortium (MQIC) guideline development process, including those parties who are solicited for guideline feedback (e.g. health plans, medical specialty societies). Additionally, members of the MQIC Medical Directors' Committee are asked to disclose all commercial relationships.

GUIDELINE STATUS

This is the current release of the guideline.

This guideline updates a previous version: Michigan Quality Improvement Consortium. Medical management of adults with hypertension. Southfield (MI): Michigan Quality Improvement Consortium; 2005 Aug. 1 p.

GUIDELINE AVAILABILITY

Electronic copies: Available in Portable Document Format (PDF) from the <u>Michigan</u> Quality Improvement Consortium Web site.

AVAILABILITY OF COMPANION DOCUMENTS

None available

PATIENT RESOURCES

None available

NGC STATUS

This NGC summary was completed by ECRI on April 14, 2004. The information was verified by the guideline developer on July 27, 2004. This NGC summary was updated by ECRI on November 28, 2005. The updated information was verified by the guideline developer on December 19, 2005. This NGC summary was updated

by ECRI Institute on March 4, 2008. The updated information was verified by the guideline developer on March 12, 2008.

COPYRIGHT STATEMENT

This NGC summary is based on the original guideline, which may be reproduced with the citation developed by the Michigan Quality Improvement Consortium.

DISCLAIMER

NGC DISCLAIMER

The National Guideline Clearinghouse[™] (NGC) does not develop, produce, approve, or endorse the guidelines represented on this site.

All guidelines summarized by NGC and hosted on our site are produced under the auspices of medical specialty societies, relevant professional associations, public or private organizations, other government agencies, health care organizations or plans, and similar entities.

Guidelines represented on the NGC Web site are submitted by guideline developers, and are screened solely to determine that they meet the NGC Inclusion Criteria which may be found at http://www.guideline.gov/about/inclusion.aspx.

NGC, AHRQ, and its contractor ECRI Institute make no warranties concerning the content or clinical efficacy or effectiveness of the clinical practice guidelines and related materials represented on this site. Moreover, the views and opinions of developers or authors of guidelines represented on this site do not necessarily state or reflect those of NGC, AHRQ, or its contractor ECRI Institute, and inclusion or hosting of guidelines in NGC may not be used for advertising or commercial endorsement purposes.

Readers with questions regarding guideline content are directed to contact the guideline developer.

© 1998-2008 National Guideline Clearinghouse

Date Modified: 9/22/2008

